arXiv:1610.04031v1 [math.MG] 13 Oct 2016

Assouad type dimensions for partially affine sponges

Douglas C. Howroyd

School of Mathematics and Statistics,
The University of St Andrews,
St Andrews,
KY16 9SS,
Scotland

E-mail contact:
douglas.howroyd@gmail.com

October 14, 2016

Abstract

Recently self-affine sponges have been shown to be interesting examples and counter-examples to several previously open problems. One class of recently discovered sponges are *partially affine Bedford-McMullen sponges* whose Assouad type dimensions cannot be calculated like the dimensions of regular Bedford-McMullen sponges are. We calculate the Assouad type dimensions for such partially affine sponges and discuss some of their more subtle details.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: primary: 28A80; secondary: 37C45, 28C15.

Key words and phrases: Assouad dimension, lower dimension, self-affine set, weak tangent.

1 Introduction

In the recent paper [FH], a new phenomenon was noted in the study of self-affine sponges which only occurs in the higher dimensional case (d greater than or equal to 3). The techniques used to calculate the Assouad dimension of Bedford-McMullen sponges in that paper fail for what we will call *partially affine sponges*, where the condition that each coordinate direction is divided into a strictly different number of pieces $n_1 < \ldots < n_d$ is relaxed to $n_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant n_d$. This paper will introduce a modified argument that is capable of solving this question but still uses similar methods as in [FH]. As such, an understanding of that paper is required for the more technical parts of this one.

1.1 Assouad dimension and lower dimension

Many definitions of dimension exist, each with different interesting properties that we would like to study. One such definition is the Assouad dimension which has seen much activity in the past few years, for example [DFSU, FHOR, FJ, M]. We will assume throughout that $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a non-empty compact bounded set. Generally the Assouad dimension of F is defined by

$$\dim_{\mathrm{A}} F = \inf \Bigg\{ s \geqslant 0 \ : \ \exists \text{ constants } C, \rho > 0 \text{ such that, for all } \ 0 < r < R \leqslant \rho, \\ \text{we have } \sup_{x \in F} N_r(B(x,R) \cap F) \leqslant C \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^s \Bigg\}$$

where $B(x,R)$ means open ball of radius R and centre x and $N_r(E)$ is the smallest number of open sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with diameter less than or equal to r required to cover a bounded set E.

When one is considering one of the box dimensions (upper or lower box), it is natural to consider the other at the same time. Similarly the Assouad dimension has a natural dual that we will call the lower dimension $\dim_{\mathrm{L}} F$, following Bylund and Gudayol [BG]. Other names do exist for the lower dimension but they all use equivalent definitions.

$$\dim_{\mathrm{L}} F = \sup \Bigg\{ s \geqslant 0 \ : \ \exists \text{ constants } C, \rho > 0 \text{ such that, for all } \ 0 < r < R \leqslant \rho, \\ \text{we have } \inf_{x \in F} N_r(B(x,R) \cap F) \geqslant C \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^s \Bigg\}.$$

For more information on some of the properties of these dimensions see [R, L, Fr2] but generally the Assouad dimension should be considered as giving us information on the 'densest' part of our set whilst the lower dimension does the same for the 'thinnest' part, this will become clear in our results. Some of the more common dimensions that exist are the Hausdorff and upper and lower box dimensions, which we denote by $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}$, $\overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\underline{\dim}_{\mathrm{B}}$ respectively, and we refer the reader to [F, Chapters 2–3] for their definitions and basic properties. When the upper and lower box dimension coincide we will simply call the common value the box dimension; this is the case in all sets that are considered in this paper. We will often compare our results to the analogous Hasudorff and box dimension results to highlight interesting properties. For any compact set F, we generally have

$$\dim_{\mathrm{L}} F \ \leqslant \ \dim_{\mathrm{H}} F \ \leqslant \ \underline{\dim}_{\mathrm{B}} F \ \leqslant \ \overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{B}} F \ \leqslant \ \dim_{\mathrm{A}} F.$$

1.2 Partially affine Bedford-McMullen sponges

We will now provide the definition of Bedford-McMullen carpets and sponges, some of the most classical self-affine fractals that have been studied. Much work has been spent understanding the dimension theoretic properties of such sets starting from the original works of Bedford and McMullen [Be, Mc], followed by [GL, B, KP, FW, Fr1], to the more recent studies of the Assouad dimension in [M, Fr2, FH, DFSU, FJ]. The recent

paper by [DS] found an interesting application of these results to answer a long existing open problem in dimension theory on the existence of an ergodic invariant measure of full Hausdorff dimension for every expanding repeller, see [KP] for the full statement. They did this by showing the existence of self-affine sponges where any ergodic invariant measure fully supported on the sponge has a strictly lower Hausdorff dimension than the sponge itself. Much of the work in this area was aimed at showing that there always existed such a measure, however sponges are simple enough to study yet with complicated enough structure for their result to be possible.

The following notation is a mixture of Olsen's [O1] work with some additional parts introduced in [FH] modified to solve our problem. *Bedford–McMullen sponges* are defined as follows. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and, for all $l = 1, \ldots, d$, choose $n_l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 < n_1 \leqslant n_2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant n_d$. When these integers are all equal our sponge is simply a strictly self-similar set and the dimension is equal to the similarity dimension since our sponge satisfies the Open Set Condition, see [O2]. Our formula will actually work for this case as well, something that the previous the formula in [FH] was not able to do. Let $\mathcal{I}_l = \{0, \ldots, n_l - 1\}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \prod_{l=1}^{d} \mathcal{I}_l$ and consider a fixed digit set $D \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ with at least two elements. For $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_d) \in D$ we define the affine contraction $S_{\mathbf{i}} \colon [0,1]^d \to [0,1]^d$ by

$$S_{\mathbf{i}}\left(x_1, \ldots, x_d\right) = \left(\frac{x_1 + i_1}{n_1}, \ldots, \frac{x_d + i_d}{n_d}\right).$$

Thanks to a well known theorem of Hutchinson [H], we know that there exists a unique non-empty compact set $K \subseteq [0,1]^d$ satisfying

$$K = \bigcup_{\mathbf{i} \in D} S_{\mathbf{i}}(K).$$

called the attractor of the iterated function system (IFS) $\{S_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i} \in D}$. The attractor K is called a *self-affine sponge* when constructed with these contractions and when $d = 2$ we call the resulting set a *carpet*. The 2 dimensional case was first considered by Bedford and McMullen separately in [Be, Mc] and then [KP] generalised to the $d > 2$ dimensional case. Without loss of generality we shall assume that K does not lie in a hyperplane. In such cases, we simply restrict our attention to the minimal lower hyperplane containing K and consider it as a self-affine sponge in this space.

One advantage of this construction is the ability to link our sponge K and the symbolic space $D^{\mathbb{N}}$, the set of all infinite words over the symbols in D equipped with the product topology generated by the cylinders $[\mathbf{i}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{i}_n]$ corresponding to all finite words over D. The function $\tau : D^{\mathbb{N}} \to [0,1]^d$ defined below is the key to this property:

$$\tau(\omega) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_{\omega|n}([0,1]^d)$$

where $\omega = (\mathbf{i}_1, \mathbf{i}_2, \ldots) \in D^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\omega|n = (\mathbf{i}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{i}_n) \in D^n$ and $\mathbf{i}_j = (i_{j,1}, \ldots, i_{j,d})$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $S_{\omega|n} = S_{(\mathbf{i}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{i}_n)} = S_{\mathbf{i}_1} \circ \cdots \circ S_{\mathbf{i}_n}$. Thus

$$\tau(D^{\mathbb{N}}) = K.$$

The Assouad dimension of Bedford-McMullen sponges was calculated recently in [FH] as long as all of the inequalities in $1 < n_1 < \cdots < n_d$ are strict. An analogous assumption

was assumed in [DFSU] when calculating the Assouad and lower dimensions of Lalley-Gatzouras sponges, a generalisation of the Bedford-McMullen sponges, which indicates that this issue might arise in further generalizations of self-affine sponges. This problem is unique to the Assouad and lower dimensions in the higher dimensional cases ($d > 2$) and does not appear in the 2-dimensional case or when considering the Hausdorff or box dimensions of sponges. We call these problematic sponges *partially affine Bedford-McMullen sponges*. Let $1 < n_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant n_d$ with $d > 2$ such that there is at least one $1 \leqslant a < d$ with $n_a = n_{a+1}$. Using the same method as for the general Bedford-McMullen sponges we obtain an attractor K which we define as a partially affine Bedford-McMullen sponge. The main idea will be to consider the equal coordinates as forming a sort of 'self-similar set inside our self-affine sponge' and then employ methods that would generally be used in the self-similar setting for these problematic coordinates whilst continuing the original procedures for the ones with strict inequalities.

To simplify notation we define $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{I}_1 \times \mathcal{I}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{I}_{a_1}$ such that $1 < n_1 = \cdots = n_{a_1} < n_{a_1+1}$ then $\mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{I}_{a_1+1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{I}_{a_1+a_2}$, again with $n_{a_1+1} = \cdots = n_{a_1+a_2} < n_{a_1+a_2+1}$ and we continue by induction on the dimension until we obtain $\mathcal{I} = \prod_{l=1}^{d^*} \mathcal{J}_l$ where d^* is the number of distinct integers from the list $n_1, \ldots, n_d$. So $\mathcal{J}_l$ is a collection of a_l dimensions for any l and we define $n_l^* = n_{a_1+\cdots+a_l}$, this is the contraction associated to all the coordinates in the l^{th} collection. This groups the 'self-similar' parts together and allows us to think of our sponge not as a d-dimensional strictly self-affine set but a 'd^*-dimensional strictly self-affine set' with some 'dimensions' actually being several combined coordinates.

A *pre-fractal* of an attractor F associated to an IFS as defined above is the set defined by the application of all possible combinations of functions in our IFS to an initial set a certain number of times (the number of times is called the level of the pre-fractal). As the level tends to infinity, the pre-fractals will converge to our attractor in the Hausdorff metric, for any initial set satisfying some simple conditions, e.g. $[0,1]^d$. For a more detailed explanation see [F, page 126]. We will later consider sets that are similar to the nth pre-fractal of a sponge K

$$\bigcup_{(\mathbf{i}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{i}_n)\in D^n} S_{(\mathbf{i}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{i}_n)}([0,1]^d),$$

which is a collection of $|D|^n$ hypercuboids. Below is a figure of the first pre-fractals for a given partially affine Bedford-McMullen sponge, we can already see how they will converge to our attractor K.

The technique used for the upper bound for the Assouad dimension will require an understanding of *Bernoulli measures* supported on the set, thankfully IFS' provides a nice way of constructing such measures by defining a Borel probability measure on the symbol space and then using the push-forward τ to acquire a Bernoulli measure on our set. To do this we associate a probability vector $\{p_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in D}$ with D and let $\tilde{\mu} = \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}\in D} p_{\mathbf{i}}\delta_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$ be the natural Borel product probability measure on $D^{\mathbb{N}}$, where $\delta_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the Dirac measure on D concentrated at $\mathbf{i}$. Finally, the measure

$$\mu(A) = \tilde{\mu} \circ \tau^{-1}(A),$$

for a Borel set $A \subseteq K$, is our Bernoulli measure supported on K. For those not familiar with this technique, one could simply consider the Borel probability measure on the



Figure 1: The first and second levels in the construction of a specific partially-affine self-affine sponge in $\mathbb{R}^3$ where $n_1 = 2$, $n_2 = 3$, $n_3 = 3$ and $D = \{(0,0,0),(0,1,1),(0,2,2),(1,0,1)\}$.

symbol space whilst remembering that cylinders and pre-fractals are closely related. We will later define a Bernoulli measure which will be used in the proofs to obtain an upper bound on the Assouad dimension. This measure will reflect both the strict inequalities and the equalities. For now we simply introduce the notation needed for the construction of the measure and the statement of our result.

For any $l = 1, 2, \ldots, d^*$ we define $\pi_l : D \to \prod_{k=1}^{l} \mathcal{J}_k$ to be the projection onto the first l 'clusters' of coordinates so say $\pi_l(i_1, \ldots, i_d) = (i_1, \ldots, i_{a_1+\cdots+a_l})$ and let $D_l = \pi_l(D)$ and $N = \#\{\pi_1 D\}$. Then, for $l = 1, \ldots, d^* - 1$ and $(i_1, \ldots, i_{a_1+\cdots+a_l}) \in D_l$ let

$$\begin{aligned} N(i_1, \ldots, i_{a_1+\cdots+a_l}) =& \#\{\left(i_{a_1+\cdots+a_l+1}, \ldots, i_{a_1+\cdots+a_l+a_{l+1}}\right) \in \mathcal{J}_{l+1} \\ & : (i_1, \ldots, i_{a_1+\cdots+a_l+a_{l+1}}) \in D_{l+1}\} \end{aligned}$$

be the number of possible ways to choose the next a_{l+1} digits of $(i_1, \ldots, i_{a_1+\cdots+a_l})$. Thus $N(i_1, \ldots, i_l)$ is an integer between 1 and $(n_l^*)^{a_{l+1}}$, inclusive.

2 Results

In this paper we will provide an answer to question [FH, Question 4.2] by calculating the Assouad dimension of partially affine Bedford-McMullen sponges, i.e. sponges without the assumption that the n_l are strictly increasing.

Theorem 2.1. *Let K be a partially affine Bedford-McMullen sponge. The Assouad dimension of K is*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{A}} K = \frac{\log N}{\log n_1^*} + \sum_{l=2}^{d^*} \frac{\log \max\limits_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}}) \in D_{l-1}} N(i_1, \ldots, i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})}{\log n_l^*}$$

and the lower dimension of K is

$$\dim_{\mathrm{L}} K \;=\; \frac{\log N}{\log n_1^*} \;+\; \sum_{l=2}^{d^*} \frac{\log \min_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})\in D_{l-1}} N(i_1,\ldots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})}{\log n_l^*}$$

Whilst we do not maximise every coordinate as much as possible here in the Assouad dimension formula, we should consider any directions that are divided into an equal number of parts to form a sort of 'self-similar set inside the affine sponge' and the dimension contributed by this 'self-similar' part is the well-known similarity dimension of the maximal 'column' within this section, which is as large as possible for that set as all the common dimensions coincide for self-similar sets satisfying the OSC.

One of the most interesting points to note however is the first term in these definitions. In the strictly self-affine case, the formula considers the projection onto the first coordinate and then maximises the remaining dimensions. Here if the first few dimensions have the same contraction ratio then we consider the projection onto the higher dimensional plane and then maximise the following dimensions as explained above. The other common definitions of dimension (Hausdorff and box) do not have this property as they only ever consider the projection onto the first axis and then calculate averages over the remaining dimensions, when there is this partial self-affinity the average is simply the similarity dimension and as such does not require a different formula.

Comparing the Assouad dimension formula to the one obtained in [FH], there are clearly cases where the old formula still holds but many others where the new one is strictly lower. This occurs when the maximal multi-dimensional columns consist of only maximal 1-dimensional columns that appear in the old formula. As mentioned previously this phenomena does not occur in the box and Hausdorff dimensions or the Assouad dimension of carpets and as such it would be interesting to try and find other, non-carpet examples where such an issue manifests.

The Assouad and lower dimensions of Lalley-Gatzouras sponges was rencently calculated in [DFSU] but their proof relies on a condition analogous to the strict inequality needed in [FH]. The method used in this paper is powerful enough to solve the partially affine Lalley-Gatzouras case too but is a simple extension and notationally complex and as such we omit it. The more general sponges such as Baranski sponges do not currently have formulae and it is not clear how different the partially affine cases are.

3 Proof

In this section we will prove Theorem 2.1. In 3.1 we shall introduce any remaining notation that is needed for the proof. Then we will prove the upper bound for the Assouad dimension in 3.2 followed by the lower in 3.3. For completeness we will finally include a proof of both the upper and lower bounds for the lower dimension in 3.4 and 3.5. Much of this part will be similar to the proof in [FH] so we will omit many of the technical details that have already been covered in that paper but we will nonetheless provide a summary of these techniques.

3.1 Notation for partially affine Bedford-McMullen sponges

We define $\sigma : D^{\mathbb{N}} \to D^{\mathbb{N}}$ to be the shift map $\sigma(\mathbf{i}_1, \mathbf{i}_2, \ldots) = (\mathbf{i}_2, \mathbf{i}_3, \ldots)$, which acts in the inverse direction of $S_{\mathbf{i}}$ but on the symbolic space instead of the unit cube.

Cylinder sets are unfortunately not optimal covers as such we introduce the approximate cubes which are similar to cylinders and regular cubes at the same time, giving us the tools from the IFS and the optimal covers from cubes. These will be used extensively throughout our proofs. For all $r \in (0, 1]$ we choose the unique integers $k_1(r), \ldots, k_d(r)$, greater than or equal to 0, such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{n_l}\right)^{k_l(r)+1} < r \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{n_l}\right)^{k_l(r)}$$

for $l = 1, \ldots, d$. In particular, $\frac{-\log r}{\log n_l} - 1 < k_l(r) \leqslant \frac{-\log r}{\log n_l}$. We observe that $k_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}+1} = \cdots = k_{a_1+\cdots+a_l}$ and denote the common value by $k_l^*(r) = k_{a_1+\cdots+a_l}(r)$ for any $l = 1, \ldots, d^*$, this is just the integer associated with all the coordinates in $\mathcal{J}_l$. Then the approximate cube $Q(\omega, r)$ of (approximate) side length $r < 1$ determined by $\omega = (\mathbf{i}_1, \mathbf{i}_2, \ldots) = ((i_{1,1}, \ldots, i_{1,d}), (i_{2,1}, \ldots, i_{2,d}), \ldots) \in D^{\mathbb{N}}$ is defined by

$$Q(\omega, r) = \left\{\omega' = (\mathbf{j}_1, \mathbf{j}_2, \ldots) \in D^{\mathbb{N}} : \forall\ l = 1, \ldots, d \text{ and } \forall\ t = 1, \ldots, k_l(r) \text{ we have } j_{t,l} = i_{t,l}\right\}.$$

The geometric analogue of approximate cubes, $\tau\left(Q(\omega, r)\right)$, is slightly harder to define and is contained in

$$\prod_{l=1}^{d} \left[\frac{i_{1,l}}{n_l} + \cdots + \frac{i_{k_l(r),l}}{n_l^{k_l(r)}}\,,\ \frac{i_{1,l}}{n_l} + \cdots + \frac{i_{k_l(r),l}}{n_l^{k_l(r)}} + \frac{1}{n_l^{k_l(r)}} \right];$$

a hypercuboid in $\mathbb{R}^d$ aligned with the coordinate axes and of side lengths $n_l^{-k_l(r)}$, which are all comparable to r since $r \leqslant n_l^{-k_l(r)} < n_l r$.

The lower bound for the Assouad dimension will use tangents and is interested in the 'distance' between sets. This notion of distance is simply the Hausdorff metric $d_{\mathcal{H}}$ defined on the space of non-empty compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$, which is defined by

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}(A, B) \ = \ \inf\left\{\varepsilon \geqslant 0 : A \subseteq [B]_\varepsilon \text{ and } B \subseteq [A]_\varepsilon\right\}$$

where $[A]_\varepsilon$ is the closed ε-neighbourhood of a set A.

3.2 Upper bound for Assouad dimension

Generally one uses a covering argument to obtain the upper bound, however our sponges being d-dimensional objects makes this idea quite difficult to implement. Instead we can simply use a measure theoretic technique thanks to the following proposition first proved in [FH, Proposition 3.1] and motivated by the measure theoretic definition developed in [LS, KV]:

Proposition 3.1 ([FH]). *Suppose there exists a Borel probability measure ν on $D^{\mathbb{N}}$ and constants $C > 0$ and $s \geqslant 0$ such that for any $0 < r < R \leqslant 1$ and $\omega \in D^{\mathbb{N}}$ we have*

$$\frac{\nu\left(Q(\omega, R)\right)}{\nu\left(Q(\omega, r)\right)} \leqslant C \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^s.$$

Then $\dim_{\mathrm{A}} K \leqslant s$.

The proof of this technical lemma is simple and follows from the original definition of Assouad dimension, for its proof see the original paper. Essentially it says that if one has a measure satisfying some condition on approximate cubes then we have an upper bound on the Assouad dimension. Thankfully we can modify the measure used to this extent in [FH] such that our desired dimension is an upper bound.

The modified measure is defined by

$$p_{\mathbf{i}} = p_{(i_1,\dots,i_d)} = \frac{1}{N\left(\prod_{l=2}^{d^*} N(i_1,\dots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})\right)}.$$

Again, this is simply the 'coordinate uniform measure' introduced for the regular sponges except for the coordinates with equal contractions where it is a sort of uniform distribution, as desired. Similarly one can check from the definitions that $\sum_{\mathbf{i}\in D} p_{\mathbf{i}} = 1$. We call this measure the 'partial coordinate uniform measure', since it is a modified version of the original.

There is a precise formula for conditional probabilities on the symbol space as noted in Olsen [O1, Section 3.1], we omit the exact formulation as it simply reduces to, whenever $(i_1,\dots,i_l) \in D_l$, $p(i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}+1},\dots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_l}|i_1,\dots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}}) = 1/N(i_1,\dots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})$ for $l = 2,\dots,d^*$ and $p(i_1,\dots,i_{a_1}|\emptyset) = N$. This conditional probability is just the probability of picking the next set of digits in the set $\mathcal{J}_l$ given the previous coordinates.

Technically our measure is defined on the pre-fractals of our sponge, not on approximate cubes. Fortunately Olsen [O1, (6.2)] noted the following straightforward formula

$$\tilde{\mu}(Q(\omega,r)) = \prod_{l=1}^{d}\prod_{j=0}^{k_l(r)-1} p_l(\sigma^j\omega) \tag{3.1}$$

where $p_l(\omega) = p(i_{1,l}|i_{1,1},\dots,i_{1,l-1})$. The important fact to note here is that $p(i_l|i_1,\dots,i_{l-1}) \times p(i_{l+1}|i_1,\dots,i_l) = p(i_l,i_{l+1}|i_1,\dots,i_{l-1})$ and as such we can combine the conditional probabilities in (3.1) when two successive coordinates have the same contraction ratio so $k_l(r) = k_{l+1}(r)$. We are now ready to obtain the upper bound.

Proof. By (3.1), when s is the desired Assouad dimension, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\tilde{\mu}(Q(\omega,R))}{\tilde{\mu}(Q(\omega,r))} &= \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{d}\prod_{j=0}^{k_l(R)-1} p_l(\sigma^j\omega)}{\prod_{l=1}^{d}\prod_{j=0}^{k_l(r)-1} p_l(\sigma^j\omega)} \\
&= \prod_{l=1}^{d}\prod_{j=k_l(R)}^{k_l(r)-1} \frac{1}{p_l(\sigma^j\omega)} \\
&= \prod_{l=1}^{d^*}\prod_{j=k_l^*(R)}^{k_l^*(r)-1} \frac{1}{p(i_{j+1,a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}+1},\dots,i_{j+1,a_1+\cdots+a_l}|i_{j+1,1},\dots,i_{j+1,a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})} \\
&\leqslant \left(\prod_{j=k_1^*(R)}^{k_1^*(r)-1} N\right)\left(\prod_{l=2}^{d^*}\prod_{j=k_l^*(R)}^{k_l^*(r)-1} \max_{(i_1,\dots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})\in D_{l-1}} N(i_1,\dots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})\right) \\
&= N^{k_1^*(r)-k_1^*(R)}\left(\prod_{l=2}^{d^*} \max_{(i_1,\dots,i_{l-1})\in D_{l-1}} N(i_1,\dots,i_{l-1})^{k_l^*(r)-k_l^*(R)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leqslant N^{\log R/\log n_1^* - \log r/\log n_1^* + 1} \\
&\quad \left(\prod_{l=2}^{d^*} \max_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})\in D_{l-1}} N(i_1,\ldots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})^{\log R/\log n_l^* - \log r/\log n_l^* + 1} \right) \\
&\leqslant (n_1^*)^{a_1} \times \cdots \times (n_{d^*}^*)^{a_d} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{\dfrac{\log N}{\log n_1^*}} \\
&\quad \left(\prod_{l=2}^{d^*} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{\dfrac{\log \max_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})\in D_{l-1}} N(i_1,\ldots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})}{\log n_l^*}} \right) \\
&\leqslant n_d^d \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^s .
\end{aligned}
$$

This estimate combined with Proposition 3.1 gives us the desired upper bound.

□

3.3 Lower bound for Assouad dimension

For the lower bound we will use 'weak tangents', a technique possible thanks to a proposition of Mackay and Tyson [MT, Proposition 6.1.5] which was then modified by Fraser [Fr2, Proposition 7.7]. This is the section where the original proof in [FH] does not apply, there is however a way around this using some of the ideas detailed so far.

Proposition 3.2 (Very weak tangents)**.** *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be compact and let F be a compact subset of X, Let (T_k) be a sequence of bi-Lipschitz maps defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Lipschitz constants $a_k, b_k \geqslant 1$ such that*

$$a_k|x-y| \leqslant |T_k(x) - T_k(y)| \leqslant b_k|x-y| \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d)$$

and

$$\sup_k b_k/a_k = C_0 < \infty$$

and suppose that $T_k(F) \cap X \to \hat{F}$ in the Hausdorff metric. Then the set $\hat{F}$ is called a very weak tangent *to F and, moreover,* $\dim_{\mathrm{A}} F \geqslant \dim_{\mathrm{A}} \hat{F}$.

To simplify notation, we choose $\mathbf{i}(l) = (i(l)_1, \ldots, i(l)_d) \in D$ for $l = 2, \ldots d^*$ to be an element of D which attains the maximum value for $N(i_1, \ldots, i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})$, i.e.

$$N\left(i(l)_1, \ldots, i(l)_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}}\right) \;=\; \max_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})\in D_{l-1}} N(i_1, \ldots, i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}}).$$

There might be several possibilities for each $\mathbf{i}(l)$, but we can pick it arbitrarily.

The rest of this part will be a brief explanation of the technique as it is very similar to that in [FH]. The main idea is to construct a tangent $\hat{F}$ that is some product of self-similar sets whose box dimension can be calculated using common formulas as in [F] and the box dimension of $\hat{F}$ is simply the desired Assouad dimension. To show that this tangent is indeed a tangent one shows that $T_k(F) \cap X$ and $\hat{F}$ are both subsets of a set that is the

product of some Menger-like sponge and d^*-1 pre-fractals of Menger-like sponges which depend on their respective coordinate collection (and the level depends on k) and then as k tends to infinity the Hausdorff distance between all theses sets becomes 0. There is also a complication that might arise due to the definition of approximate cubes but this is easily circumvented in the Assouad dimension case.

The desired tangent is

$$\hat{K} = \pi_1 K \times \prod_{l=2}^{d^*} K_l$$

where K_l is the Menger-like sponge (equivalently thought of as a strictly self-similar Bedford-McMullen sponge) obtained by the IFS acting on $[0,1]^{a_l}$ which forms a sponge identical to the maximal a_l-dimensional plane in the $a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}+1$ to $a_1+\cdots+a_l$ coordinates of our original sponge. These are simply the cantor sets of the original proof when the contraction ratios are different but self-similar sponges when they are equal. The set $\pi_1 K$ is the geometric projection of the sponge on to the first a_1 coordinates (note that we use the projection function π in both the geometric and symbolic spaces).

For $l=2,\ldots,d^*$ and $m\in\mathbb{N}$, we let K_l^m be the m^{th} pre-fractal of K_l where our initial set is $[0,1]^{a_l}$. In particular, the set K_l^m is a union of $N(i_1,\ldots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})^m$ intervals of side lengths $(n_l^*)^{-m}$.

Given a geometric approximate cube $\tau(Q)=\tau(Q(\omega,r))$, we define a bi-Lipschitz map $T^Q:\tau(Q)\to[0,1]^d$ (or $T^Q:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$) by

$$T^Q(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} n_1^{k_1(r)}\left(x_1-\left(\frac{i_{1,1}}{n_1}+\ldots+\frac{i_{k_1(r),1}}{n_1^{k_1(r)}}\right)\right) \\ \vdots \\ n_d^{k_d(r)}\left(x_d-\left(\frac{i_{1,d}}{n_d}+\ldots+\frac{i_{k_d(r),d}}{n_d^{k_d(r)}}\right)\right) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus T^Q translates $\tau(Q)$ such that the point closest to the origin from the hypercuboid containing $\tau(Q)$ becomes the origin and then scales it up by a factor of $n_l^{k_l(r)}$ in each coordinate l. Thus these maps take the natural hypercuboid containing $\tau(Q)$ precisely to the unit cube $[0,1]^d$. These maps clearly satisfy the conditions imposed by Proposition 3.2, i.e., they are restrictions of bi-Lipschitz maps on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with constants $b_Q=\sup_{l=1,\ldots,d} n_l^{k_l(r)}$ and $a_Q=\inf_{l=1,\ldots,d} n_l^{k_l(r)}$ satisfying

$$\frac{b_Q}{a_Q} \leqslant \sup_{l=1,\ldots,d} \frac{rn_l}{r} \leqslant n_d < \infty$$

for any Q. This follows from the definition of $k_l(r)$ and does not rely on the inequality of $n_l's$.

We define, for small R, $\omega(R)=(\mathbf{i}_1,\mathbf{i}_2,\ldots)\in D^{\mathbb{N}}$ where $\mathbf{i}_t=(i_{t,1},\ldots,i_{t,d})=\mathbf{i}(l)$ for $t=k_l^*(R)+1,\ldots,k_{l-1}^*(R)$ for all $l=2,\ldots,d^*$. So $\omega(R)$ has the form

$$\omega(R)=\left(\mathbf{i}_1,\ldots,\underbrace{\mathbf{i}(d),\ldots,\mathbf{i}(d)}_{k_{d^*-1}^*(R)-k_{d^*}^*(R)\text{ times}},\underbrace{\mathbf{i}(d-1),\ldots,\mathbf{i}(d-1)}_{k_{d^*-2}^*(R)-k_{d^*-1}^*(R)\text{ times}},\ldots,\underbrace{\mathbf{i}(2),\ldots,\mathbf{i}(2)}_{k_1^*(R)-k_2^*(R)\text{ times}},\ldots\right).$$

We can then prove the following technical lemma, see [FH, Lemma 3.3] for details.

Lemma 3.3 ([FH]). *For $R \in (0,1]$ small enough and $Q = Q(\omega(R), R)$, we have*

$$T^Q(\tau(Q)) \ \subseteq \ \pi_1 K \times \prod_{l=2}^{d^*} K_l^{k^*_{l-1}(R) - k^*_l(R)}.$$

By using this lemma and the definition of pre-fractals we can show that

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\hat{K},\ T^Q(\tau(Q(\omega(R), R)))\right) \to 0$$

as $R \to 0$.

One final technical lemma then solves the following:

$$T^Q(K) \cap [0,1]^d \ \supseteq \ T^Q(\tau(Q(\omega(R), R))) \ \to \ \hat{K},$$

where the containment may be strict, which implies that $\hat{K}$ is not necessarily a tangent of K.

Lemma 3.4 ([FH]). *Let E_k, $F_k \subseteq [0,1]^d$ be sequences of non-empty compact sets which converge in the Hausdorff metric to compact sets E and F respectively. If $F_k \subseteq E_k$ for all k, then $F \subseteq E$.*

The proof of this lemma is left to the reader. We can now finally calculate the lower bound.

Proof. Standard results on the box dimensions of product sets [F, Chapter 7] and of self-similar sets [F, Chapter 9] imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{K} \ &= \ \dim_{\mathrm{B}} \pi_1 K + \sum_{l=2}^{d^*} \dim_{\mathrm{B}} K_l \\ &= \ \frac{\log N}{\log n_1} + \sum_{l=2}^{d^*} \frac{\log \max\limits_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}}) \in D_{l-1}} N(i_1, \ldots, i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})}{\log n_l^*}. \end{aligned}$$

By compactness we can take a convergent subsequence of $T^Q(K) \cap [0,1]^d \to K'$. Then by Proposition 3.2 there is a set $\hat{K} \subseteq K'$ and by monotonicity of Assouad dimension the following holds

$$\dim_{\mathrm{A}} K \ \geqslant \ \dim_{\mathrm{A}} K' \ \geqslant \ \dim_{\mathrm{A}} \hat{K} \geqslant \ \dim_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{K},$$

as required. □

3.4 Lower bound for lower dimension

The proof of the lower bound for the lower dimension is essentially the same as the proof in 3.2. We start by introducing a lemma proved in [FH, Proposition 3.5]

Proposition 3.5 ([FH]). *Suppose there exists a Borel probability measure ν on $D^{\mathbb{N}}$ and constants $C > 0$ and $s \geqslant 0$ such that for any $0 < r < R \leqslant 1$ and $\omega \in D^{\mathbb{N}}$ we have*

$$\frac{\nu\left(Q(\omega, R)\right)}{\nu\left(Q(\omega, r)\right)} \geqslant C \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^s.$$

Then $\dim_{\mathrm{L}} K \geqslant s$.

Then using a mixture of the original lower dimension's lower bound proof and the new Assouad dimension upper bound proof we get

Proof.

$$\frac{\tilde{\mu}(Q(\omega,R))}{\tilde{\mu}(Q(\omega,r))} \geqslant n_d^{-d}\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{\dfrac{\log N}{\log n_1^*} + \displaystyle\sum_{l=2}^{d^*} \dfrac{\log \min\limits_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})\in D_{l-1}} N(i_1,\ldots,i_{a_1+\cdots+a_{l-1}})}{\log n_l^*}}.$$

This estimate combined with Proposition 3.5 gives us the required lower bound. □

3.5 Upper bound for lower dimension

The method for this final proof is again a mixture of the original one with the new idea to avoid the equality problem and should be clear from these other proofs, as such we leave it to the reader.

Acknowledgements

The author was supported by an EPSRC doctoral training grant whilst writing this paper. He thanks his supervisor Jonathan Fraser for the advice received during the project.

References

[B] K. Barański. Hausdorff dimension of the limit sets of some planar geometric constructions, *Adv. Math.*, **210**, (2007), 215–245.

[Be] T. Bedford. *Crinkly curves, Markov partitions and box dimension in self-similar sets*, Ph.D. dissertation, University of Warwick, (1984).

[BG] P. Bylund and J. Gudayol. On the existence of doubling measures with certain regularity properties, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **128**, (2000), 3317–3327.

[DS] T. Das and D. Simmons. The Hausdorff and dynamical dimensions of self-affine sponges: a dimension gap result, *preprint*, (2016), available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.08166.

[DFSU] T. Das, L. Fishman, D. Simmons and M. Urbański. Badly approximable points on self-affine sponges and the lower Assouad dimension, *preprint*, (2016), available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.03225.

[F] K. J. Falconer. *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, 2nd Ed., John Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, (2003).

[FJ] J. M. Fraser and T. Jordan. The Assouad dimension of self-affine carpets with no grid structure, *preprint*, (2016), available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.02129.

[FH] J. M. Fraser and D. C. Howroyd. Assouad type dimensions of self-affine sponges, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. (to appear)*, available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.03393.

[FHOR] J. M. Fraser, A. M. Henderson, E. J. Olsen and J. Robinson. On the Assouad dimension of self-similar sets with overlaps, *Advances in Mathematics*, **273**, (2015), 188–214.

[Fr1] J. M. Fraser. On the packing dimension of box-like self-affine sets in the plane, *Nonlinearity*, **25**, (2012), 2075–2092.

[Fr2] J. M. Fraser. Assouad type dimensions and homogeneity of fractals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **366**, (2014), 6687–6733.

[FW] D.-J. Feng and Y. Wang. A class of self-affine sets and self-affine measures, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **11**, (2005), 107–124.

[GL] S. P. Lalley and D. Gatzouras. Hausdorff and box dimensions of certain self-affine fractals, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **41**, (1992), 533–568.

[H] J. E. Hutchinson. Fractals and self similarity, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **30**, (1981), 713–747.

[KP] R. Kenyon and Y. Peres. Measures of full dimension on affine-invariant sets, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **16**, (1996), 307–323.

[KV] S.V.Konyagin and A.L. Vol'berg. On measures with the doubling condition, *Math. USSR-Izv.*, **30**, (1988), 629–638.

[L] J. Luukkainen. Assouad dimension: antifractal metrization, porous sets, and homogeneous measures, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **35**, (1998), 23–76.

[LS] J. Luukkainen and E. Saksman. Every complete doubling metric space carries a doubling measure, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126**, (1998), 531–534.

[M] J. M. Mackay. Assouad dimension of self-affine carpets, *Conform. Geom. Dyn.*, **15**, (2011), 177–187.

[Mc] C.T. McMullen. The Hausdorff dimension of general Sierpiński carpets, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **96**, (1984), 1–9.

[MT] J. M. Mackay and J. T. Tyson. *Conformal dimension. Theory and application*, University Lecture Series, 54. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2010).

[O1] L. Olsen. Self-affine multifractal Sierpinski sponges in $\mathbb{R}^d$, *Pacific J. Math*, **183**, (1998), 143–199.

[O2] L. Olsen. On the Assouad dimension of graph directed Moran fractals, *Fractals*, **19**, (2011), 221–226.

[R] J. C. Robinson. *Dimensions, Embeddings, and Attractors*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2011).